



CITY OF MANCHESTER, NEW HAMPSHIRE

INDUSTRIAL PRETREATMENT PROGRAM ANNUAL REPORT

JUNE 1, 2017 THROUGH MAY 31, 2018

Prepared by:
Department of Public Works/ Environmental Protection Division
Christopher J. Crowley

Kevin A. Sheppard, P.E. Public Works Director

Timothy J. Clougherty Deputy Public Works Director

Frederick J. McNeill, P.E. Chief Engineer



Commission
Toni Pappas
Patrick Robinson
James Burkush
Trixie Vazquez
Armand Forest

CITY OF MANCHESTER

Department of Public Works Environmental Protection Division

July 30, 2018

No. 18-10-MO

Justin Pimpare EPA New England 5 Post Office Square Suite 100 OEP 06-3 Boston, MA 02109-3912

Dear Mr. Pimpare,

On behalf of the City of Manchester, Environmental Protection Division (EPD), I am submitting the 2017/2018 Annual Industrial Pretreatment Program (IPP) Report. The report summarizes the activities of the IPP and the status of all permitted Significant Industrial Users that discharged to the facility from June 1, 2017 to May 31, 2018. Also attached are EPA's revised Compliance Status Work Sheet and the 2017/2018 annual report for the Town of Londonderry, New Hampshire.

In addition to IPP activities, this report also details sampling results for the Wastewater Treatment Plant's Influent, Effluent, and Sludge, as required in Section Five (5) Appendices F, G, and H.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact me at (603) 624-6513.

Sincerely,

Christopher J. Crowley Pretreatment Supervisor

Copy / Enclosure:

Alexis Rastorguyeff, P.E., SE III, NHDES, Concord, NH Kevin A. Sheppard, P.E., Public Works Director Frederick J. McNeill, P.E., Chief Engineer Robert Robinson, P.E., Plant Superintendent Jeremy Bouvier, Environmental Permits Coordinator Kevin A. Sheppard, P.E. Public Works Director

Timothy J. Clougherty Deputy Public Works Director

Frederick J. McNeill, P.E. Chief Engineer



Commission
Toni Pappas
Patrick Robinson
James Burkush
Trixie Vazquez
Armand Forest

CITY OF MANCHESTER

Department of Public Works Environmental Protection Division

July 30, 2018

No. 18-11-MO

Alexis Rastorguyeff, PE, SE III NHDES, Industrial Pretreatment Supervisor Wastewater Engineering Bureau 29 Hazen Drive, PO Box 95 Concord, NH 03302-0095

Dear Mr. Rastorguyeff,

On behalf of the City of Manchester, Environmental Protection Division (EPD), I am submitting the 2017/2018 Annual Industrial Pretreatment Program (IPP) Report. The report summarizes the activities of the IPP and the status of all permitted Significant Industrial Users that discharged to the facility from June 1, 2017 to May 31, 2018. Also attached are EPA's revised Compliance Status Work Sheet and the 2017/2018 annual report for the Town of Londonderry, New Hampshire.

In addition to IPP activities, this report also details sampling results for the Wastewater Treatment Plant's Influent, Effluent, and Sludge, as required in Section Five (5) Appendices F, G, and H.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact me at (603) 624-6513.

Sincerely

Christopher J. Crowley Pretreatment Supervisor

Copy / Enclosure:

Jay Pimpare, EPA, Region 1 Pretreatment Coordinator Kevin A. Sheppard, P.E., Public Works Director Frederick J. McNeill, P.E., Chief Engineer Robert J. Robinson, P.E., Plant Superintendent Jeremy Bouvier, Environmental Permits Coordinator

Table of Contents

Part 1. Industrial Users by Category	
1.1 City of Manchester Baseline Monitoring Compliance Reports:	1
1.2 Compliance with Newly Promulgated Industries	1
1.3 Compliance Status with Semi Annual Monitoring Reports	1
1.4 Compliance Categorical Standards	1
1.5 Compliance with Local Limits	1
Appendix A: Current Class I SIU/CAT	1
Appendix B: Current Class II	
Appendix C: Current Class III	
Appendix D: Annual SIU Log Sheet	
Part 2. Compliance Summary of Enforcement Monitoring Activities	
2.1 Summary of Significant Industrial Users Inspected by the	3
POTW.	
2.2 Summary of Compliance and Enforcement for Compliance Schedules Issued.	3
2.3 Summary of Compliance and Enforcement Activities.	4
2.4 Summary of Compliance and Enforcement Activities and	
Written NOV Issued.	4
2.5 Summary of Compliance and Enforcement Activities Involving Administrative Orders	4
2.6 Summary of Compliance and Enforcement Activities Involving Civil or Criminal Suits.	4
2.7 Summary of Compliance and Enforcement Activities Involving	
Penalties Obtained.	4
Paut 2 Significant Non Compliance Industries Describing Publication	
Part 3. Significant Non-Compliance Industries Requiring Publication	
3.1 Summary of Significantly Non-Compliant Industries	5
Appendix E: Enforcement Response Log	
Part 4. Narrative Description of Program Effectiveness Including Present and Proposed Changes to the Program	
4.1 Summary of Effectiveness and Changes in Program	6
4.2 Summary of Program Staffing	6
4.3 Summary of Funding and Resources	7
4.4 Summary of Sewer Ordinances	7
Part 5. Summary of Analytical Data	
Tare S. Summary of Analytical Data	
5.1 Summary of Analytical Data Conducted over the Reporting Year	8

Part 5. Summary of Analytical Data (continued)	
Summary of Quarterly Toxicity Results from Contracted Laboratory	9-12
Appendix F: Table of Annual Influent Monitoring Results	
Appendix G: Table of Annual Effluent Monitoring Results	
Appendix H: Sludge Results from July 2016 to June 2017.	
Part 6. Description of Interference and Pass-Through	
Summary of Interference and Pass-Through	13
Part 7. Investigations of Interference & Pass-Through	
Summary of Investigation of Interference and Pass-Through	14-15
Part 8. Monitoring for Interference & Pass-Through	
Summary of Monitoring for Interference and Pass-Through	16-17
Part 9. Reduction Efforts for Significant Non Compliance of Significant Industrial Users	
Summary of reduction efforts for SNC of SIUs	18
Part 10. Local Limits Adoption	
Summary of Local Limits Adoption	19

V

EPA Region 1-Annual Pretreatment Report Summary Sheet June 1, 2017 to May 31, 2018

POT w Name: City of Manchester, NH. I	Environmental Protection Division EPD
NPDES Permit #: NH0100447	
Pretreatment Report Period Start Date: Ju	ne 1, 2017
Pretreatment Report Period End Date: M	ay 31, 2018
# of Significant Industrial Users (SIUs):	16
# of SIUs Without Control Mechanisms:	0
# of SIUs not Inspected:	0
# of SIUs not Sampled:	0
# of SIUs in Significant Noncompliance (SNC) with Pretreatment Standards:	1
# of SIUs in SNC with Reporting Requirements:	1
# of SIUs in SNC with Pretreatment Compliance Schedule:	0
# of SIUs in SNC Published in Newspaper:	1
# of SIUs with Compliance Schedules:	0
# of Violation Notices Issued to SIUs:	8
# of Administrative Orders Issued to SIUs:	0
# of Civil Suits Filed Against SIUs:	0
# of Criminal Suits Filed Against SIUs:	0
# of Categorical Industrial Users (CIUs):	5
# of CIUs in SNC:	0

Penalties

Total Dollar Amount of Penalties Collected

\$ 0

of IUs from which Penalties have been collected:

0

Local Limits

Date of Most Recent Technical Evaluation of Local Limits:

9/25/2015- Part of NPDES Permit Review Process

Date of Most Recent Adoption of Technically Based Local Limits:

August 5, 1997

Local Limits

Below is listed the maximum concentrations of chemicals allowed in the effluent discharge of any Manchester industry, commercial, business or residential establishment.

Design Plant Flow 34 MGD

Pollutant / Limit (mg/l)

MAHL (lb/day)

	Copper	- 4.55	mg/l
0	Copper	- 4.33	mg/

- Cyanide (total) 2.86 mg/l
- Lead 0.94 mg/l
- Mercury 0.023 mg/l
- Silver 0.90 mg/l
- Zinc 10.42 mg/l

- 1,290.26 lb/day
 - 810.98 lb/day
 - 266.55 lb/day
 - 6.52 lb/day
 - 255.20 lb/day
 - 2,954.69 lb/day

Screening Levels

Below is a list of acceptable maximum concentrations for certain chemicals. If one of these levels is exceeded by any industry, commercial establishment, business or residential unit then the situation causing the excess contaminant will be reviewed by EPD's monitoring staff. A permit will be issued that reflects the negotiated allowable discharge concentration for that particular parameter. Certain current permits have limits that are above these screening limits, but other safeguards are written into these specific individual permits to offset the increased pollutant discharge.

•	Benzene - 0.13 mg/l	-	36.86	lb/day
•	BOD - 350 mg/l	-	99,246.00	
•	Carbon Disulfide - 0.06 mg/l	-	17.01	lb/day
•	Chlorine - 1,500 mg/l	- 4	125,340.00	lb/day
•	Chloroform - 0.41 mg/l	-	116.26	lb/day
•	1,2 Dicholorethylene - 0.28 mg/l		79.40	lb/day
•	Sulfide - 1.0 mg/l	-	283.56	lb/day
	Sulfate - 150 mg/l		42,534.00	lb/day
	Sulfate - 1,500 mg/l (for type II concrete structures)	- /	425,340.00	lb/day
•	Sulfite - 280 mg/l	-	79,396.80	lb/day
•	Suspended Solids - 350 mg/l		99,246.00	lb/day
•	Tetrachloroethylene - 0.53 mg/l	-	150.28	lb/day
	1,1,1 trichloroethane - 1.55 mg/l	-	439.52	lb/day
•	Trichloroethene - 0.71 mg/l	2	201.33	The state of the s
•	Oil & Grease - 100 mg/l (Petroleum or mineral origin, Method 1664 HEM/SGT)	-	28,356.00	1
•	Oil & Grease - 350 mg/l (Animal & vegetable origin, Method 1664 HEM)	-	99,246.00	lb/day

Information Required By EPA

Section One

An updated list of all industrial users by category, as set forth in 40 CFR 403.8(f)(2)(i), indicating compliance or noncompliance with the following:

Part 1 - 1 Compliance with Baseline Monitoring Requirements and 90-Day Compliance Reports for Newly Promulgated Industries.

There were no 90-day Compliance Reports required during the monitoring period of June 1, 2017 through May 31, 2018. Nonetheless, The City of Manchester, Environmental Protection Division (EPD) does require all Class I Industries that are renewing their discharge permits to submit a sampling report including several more parameters to be tested with their permit application.

Included at the end of this section is *Appendix A*, which lists all Class I Industrial Users (CIUs / SIUs). The worksheet includes the following: permitted average flows, category, and type of pretreatment and permit expiration date. Also included is *Appendix B* which lists the Class II's and *Appendix C* which lists the Class III Industrial Users.

Part 1 - 2 Compliance Status Reporting Requirements for Newly Promulgated Industries.

All Industries that are subject to monitoring requirements are up to date with their responsibilities. EPD issued no new permit(s) for the Significant Industrial User Classification I (SIU's) during the reporting period of June 1, 2017 through May 31, 2018.

Part 1 - 3 Periodic (Semi-Annual) Monitoring Reporting Requirements.

The Class I listing at the end of Section One (1) *Appendix D* is EPD Industrial Inspection & Sampling worksheet which tracks and verifies compliance. All the Class I CIUs / SIUs are listed on this sheet. The list contains the name and category of each industry, permit number, and the permit expiration date. The names and dates are summarized on page 4 Part 2-3, Summary of Compliance and Enforcement Activities for Compliance Schedules Issued.

Part 1 - 4 Compliance with Categorical Standards.

There were no industries that violated their categorical limits for the reporting period of June 1, 2017 through May 31, 2018.

Part 1 - 5 Compliance with Local Limits

There were seven (7) compliance issues with Local Limits during the reporting period of June 1, 2017 through May 31, 2018. All the violations were for the Screening Limits for BOD's.

The following industry was out of compliance with the local limits:

1. NYCOA (Permit No. 1015) were out of compliance for Screening BOD for daily and monthly allowance of the local limits.

Date	Industry	Local Limit
7/25/2017	NYCOA	Screening Limits for BOD's
9/20/2017	NYCOA	Screening Limits for BOD's
10/12/2017	NYCOA	Screening Limits for BOD's
12/20/2017	NYCOA	Screening Limits for BOD's
1/23/2018	NYCOA	Screening Limits for BOD's
4/16/2018	NYCOA	Screening Limits for BOD's
6/21/2018	NYCOA	Screening Limits for BOD's

City of Manchester, NH Annual IPP Report

APPENDIX - A

June 1, 2017 - May 31, 2018	, 2018		Class I / Significant Industrial	nt Industria	al Users			
Type of Business	Facility Name	Permit No.	Location	Avg. Flow GPD	CFR	Company Representative	Pretreatment	Permit Expires
Cleaning Services								
1)	Cintas Corporation	1065	324 Taylor Street	38,500		Robert Hippert		4/30/2020
2)	Cintas Corporation	1066	324 Taylor Street	13,700		Robert Hippert	DAF	4/30/2020
3)	E&R Cleaners	1068	80 Ross Ave	70,600		Chris Williams		8/31/2020
4)	Sterling Laundry	1004	39 Beech Street	95,000		Glen Stevens		1/31/2022
Metal Finisher								
5)	Jewell Instrument	1024	850 Perimeter RD	3,700	433	Patty Konstantopoulos	pH Adjustment	11/30/2020
Plastic Production								
6)	NYCOA	1015	333 Sundial Ave	285,000	414	Rob Polce		5/31/2022
Semi-Conductor								
7)	Ion Beam Milling	1105	850 E. Ind. Park Dr.	210	469	Mike Wozniak		
8)	XMA	1040	7 Perimeter Road	560	469	Stephen Traski	pH Adjustment	3/30/2022
Textile Manufacturing								
9)	Velcro USA	1019	95 Sundial Ave	80,100		Bruce Briand	pH Adjustment	11/30/2020
10)	General Cable	1007	345 McGregor St.	23,700		Sara Janik	a (Sing)	
Hospital								
11)	Elliot Hospital	1041	1 Elliot Way	69,400		Brad Smith		5/31/2022
12)	Catholic Memorial	1107	100 McGregor St	68,900		Domenic Ciavarro		5/31/2022
13)	Veterans Medical Center	1018	718 Smyth St.	45,000		Amanda Furtado		5/31/2022
Un-Classified								
14)	Manchester Landfill	1102	Front Street	100,000		City of Manchester		2/28/2022
Specialty Industry								
15)	Lyophilization (LSNE)	1005	1 Sundial Street	114,000		Greg Stevenson		8/30/2020
16)	Freudenberg-NOK	1006	50 Ammon Dr.	8,600	428	Kevin Smith	Calfran/pH	11/30/2020
						13		

IPP Monitoring

APPENDIX - B Class II Industrial Users

_	
Name of Street	

	1)	2)	3)	4)	5)	6)	7	8)	9)	10)	11)	12)	13)	14)	15)
Facility Name	Hitachi Cable America Inc.	CRYO Industries	Maple Hurst Bakery	Color Lines	Chuckles	Blake's Manchester Creamery	Budd Foods	H&O Dental	New England Document Systems	Elliot at Rivers Edge	NH Plastics (1 Bouchard)	NH Plastics (315 Bouchard)	Kyzen Corporation	Symmetry Medical Inc. Polyvac	Summit Packaging Systems Inc.
Permit No.	2000	2001	2005	2012	2013	2015	2016	2017	2020	2041	2042	2043	2044	2046	2047
Location	900 Holt Avenue	11124 South Willow Street	299 Pepsi Road	150 Dow Street Tower 4	11925 South Willow Street	46 Milford Street	431 Somerville Street	1050 N. Perimeter Road	780 E. Industrial Park Drive	185 Queen City Ave.	One Bouchard Street	315 Bouchard Street	540 N. Commercial St.	253 Abby Road	400 Gay Street
Avg. Flow GPD	3,000	1,000	4,000	2,000	2,500	2,000	10,000	250	850	7,000	1,000	1,600	475	1,900	2,300
Company Representative	Dave Murray	Kelcie O'Conner	Dave Martin	Gary Bishop	Chuck Frank	Richard Wolstencroft	Fredrick Hayes Jr.	Michelle Maradiaga	Nick Brattan	Kristen Petrin-Doucet	Harold Young	Ralph Tremblay	Mike Doucette	Ernie Fuller	Chris Gallo
Description of Facility	Plastic wrap wire cable	Cryogenic Equipment	Food bakery	Dyes for Clothing	Mfg. of Soaps	Mfg. of Ice Cream	Mfg. of Frozen Pies	Mfg. of False Teeth	Store Microfiche	Patient Care/Lab-Sterilization	Plastic Moldings	Plastic Moldings	Solutions for Circuit Ind.	Mfg. Medical trays	Mfg. Aerosol valves
Permit Expires	11/30/2019	2/28/2019	3/31/2019	6/30/2019	3/31/2023	5/31/2019	11/30/2022	5/31/2019	11/30/2022	8/31/2021	5/31/2019	5/31/2019	7/31/2020	11/30/2019	7/31/2020

APPENDIX - C Class III Industrial Users

IPP Monitoring

O CHANGE	ounce, word - may or, wor!		CIA	NY TIT	Class III Illuusuttai Osers		
	Facility Name	Permit No.	Permit Expires		Facility Name	Permit No.	Permit Expires
-	The Doctor's Office	3001	8/31/18	34	Henry's Collision Center	3061	6/30/18
2	Pepsi Cola	3002	1/31/19	35	Soil-Away Salem, NH	3063	1/31/19
w	Granite State Manufacturing	3003	8/31/20	36	A-1 Steam Cleaning	3065	1/31/19
4	USPS	3004	4/30/19	37	Starks Brewery	3066	2/28/23
5	Knoettner	3005	4/30/19	38	Servpro of Man/Derry	3070	3/31/19
6	Stanley Mitsubishi	3007	9/30/19	39	Extra Clean Services	3071	4/30/19
7	Enterprise Holdings	3008	2/28/20	40	Walgreens 227 S. Main St.	3077	8/31/18
8	M.L. Halle	3009	7/31/20	41	Holloway Cars of Man.	3083	11/30/19
9	Secondwind	3011	7/31/20	42	Team Nissan	3089	10/31/18
10	BAE Oasis	3012	6/30/22	43	Manchester Subaru	3090	9/30/19
11	Best Qualified Cleaning Inc.	3013	3/31/19	44	AutoFair Honda	3091	11/30/19
12	Wiggins Airways	3015	8/31/18	45	Quirk Parts Warehouse	3092	5/31/21
13	Windward	3016	1/31/19	46	AutoFair Hyundai	3093	11/30/19
14	R & L Carriers	3017	2/28/19	47	Merrimack Street Volvo	3094	1/31/20
15	Quirk 1250 S. Willow St.	3018	10/31/18	48	Merrimack Street Volvo	3095	1/31/20
16	Quirk 1100 S. Willow St.	3019	11/30/19	49	Bonneville & Son Inc.	3097	10/31/18
17	Quirk Works - Porter St	3020	10/31/18	50	State Motors Car Wash	3102	3/31/20
18	Steralon	3021	9/30/18	51	Kalwall Corp Pine Street	3104	7/31/20
19	Manchester Armory	3022	4/30/21	52	Keller Products	3105	7/31/20
20	Frank's Signs	3023	4/30/19	53	IRA Toyota	3109	10/31/20
21	AutoFair Ford	3025	12/31/18	54	Conway Express	3112	8/31/18
22	PMC Wire & Cable	3029	6/30/19	55	CVS Pharmacy (271 Mammoth)	3113	8/31/18
23	U-Haul	3031	10/31/18	56	Kalwall Corp 1111 Candia	3120	3/31/18
24	Admix	3033	4/30/19	57	Prestige Auto Body Inc.	3122	9/30/22
25	Crawford Vogel & Wenzel	3037	6/30/20	58	FedEx Ground Package System	3123	9/30/22
26	Certified Maintenance Ser	3043	8/30/18				
27	Liberty Trucks	3039	10/31/20				
28	PSNH 73 West Brook St.	3047	2/28/20	Spec	Special / Temporary Discharge Permit	nit	
29	PSNH 80 West Pennacook	3048	2/28/20	_		T-3001-0118	2/19/2018
30	PSNH 1580 Elm Street	3042	2/28/20				
31	PSNH 780 N.Commercial St.	3051	7/31/18				
32	Texas Instruments	3053	5/31/22				
33	Kimark Specialty Box Co.	3057	9/30/18				

APPENDIX - D

IPP Monitoring

Class I/Significant Industrial Users

	-	-						-		10.00										
		16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	∞	7	6	S	4	w	2	1			LOW STATE
		SIU	SIU	SIU	SIU	CIU 469	SIU	CIU 414	CIU 433	CIU 469	SIU	CIU 428	SIU	UIS	SIU	SIU	SIU		Classification	EPA
		1004	1007	1107	1018	1040	1019	1015	1024	1105	1102	1006	1041	1068	1066	1065	1005		No.	Permit
		Sterling Laundry	General Cable	Catholic Medical	VA Medical Center	XMA Corporation	Velcro	NYCOA	Jewell	Ion Beam Milling	Front St. Landfill	Freudenberg-NOK	Elliot Hospital	E & R Laundry	Alltex-G&K Services	Alltex-G&K Services	Lyophilization-LSNE		Name	Facility
		1/31/2022	1/31/2020	5/31/2022	1/31/2022	2/28/2022	11/30/2022	5/31/2022	11/30/2020	1/31/2022	2/28/2022	11/30/2020	5/31/2022	8/31/2020	4/30/2020	4/30/2020	8/31/2020		Expires	Permit
		3/28/2018	3/27/2018	6/18/2018	3/27/2018	4/31/18	3/23/2018	3/29/2018	3/22/2018	3/21/2018	Not Required	3/21/2018	3/14/2018	3/15/2018	3/12/2018	3/12/2018	3/19/2018	City Required	Inspection	EPD
		5/2/2018	N/D	5/4/2018	5/10/2018	4/3/2018	3/23/2018	4/4/2018	3/22/2018	N/D	4/19/2018	3/21/2018	5/4/2018	4/25/2018	4/24/2018	4/24/2019	3/19/2018	City Required	Sampling	EPD
The second secon		12/13/2017	12/21/2017	12/11/2017	8/4/2017	12/5/2017	7/21/2017	12/12/2017	12/12/2017	11/13/2017	Not Required	12/12/2017	12/8/2017	12/26/2017	12/6/2017	12/6/2017	12/13/2017	Ind. Required	Self-Mon. Rpt.	July - Dec (17)
		12/13/2017	12/21/2017	12/11/2017	12/13/2007	12/5/2017	12/12/2017	12/12/2017	12/12/2017	11/13/2017	Not Required	12/12/2017	12/8/2017	12/26/2017	12/6/2017	12/6/2017	12/13/2017	ф	Flow Rpt.	July - Dec (17)
Contract of the last of the la		5/22/2018	5/23/2018	6/31/18	5/18/2018	5/13/2018	2/8/2018	6/118/18	6/12/2018	6/11/2018	Not Required	6/12/2018	6/5/2018	NOV	6/5/2018	5/5/2018	6/8/2018		Self-Mon. Rpt.	July - Dec (17) July - Dec (17) Jan - June (18) Jan - June (18)
Contraction of the Contraction o		5/22/2018	5/23/2018	6/31/18	5/18/2018	5/13/2018	7/2/2018	6/118/18	6/12/2018	6/11/2018	Not Required	6/12/2018	6/5/2018	NOV	6/5/2018	5/5/2018	6/8/2018	Ind. Required	Flow Rpt.	Jan - June (18)

Town of Bedford

Lyophilization-LSNE New Permit 5/31/2018

Town of Londonderry

See 2017-2018 IPP Annual Report

Town of Goffstown

No permit acativity

Notes:

- 1. Front Street is the City of Manchester's Landfill, the IPP staff maintains the permit and sampling activities.
- 2. E & R Laundry did not sample on July-Dec (16) due to management change, an NOV was issiued

N/D -= No Discharge

Information Required By EPA

Section Two

Summary of Compliance and Enforcement Activities during the preceding year, including the number of:

Part 2 - 1 Significant industrial users inspected by POTW (include inspection dates for each industrial user)

The City of Manchester, (EPD) inspections are summarized on the Industrial Inspection and Sampling Worksheet *Appendix D* at the end of Section One. All Class I inspections were performed from June 1, 2017 through June 31, 2018. EPD also completed the Industrial Class II and Class III permit renewals for this monitoring period. EPD's enforcement tracking sheet is summarized on the Enforcement Response Worksheet *Appendix E* at the end of Section Three.

Part 2 - 2 Summary of significant industrial users sampled by the POTW.

The City of Manchester, (EPD) Industrial sampling is summarized on the Industrial Inspection and Sampling Worksheet *Appendix D* at the end of Section One. All Class I industry's sampling activities were performed from June 1, 2017 through May 31, 2018.

Below are our sampling activities that will continue throughout the upcoming reporting year:

- The Town of Londonderry is continuing to monitor the collection system quarterly for excess organic loading and sulfides generated due to the degredation of the high strength waste in the Londonderry sewer system. EPD will continue to monitor for silver, copper, mercury, lead, zinc, selenium, aluminum and total phosphorous. EPD is continuing to collect the data to see if they are contributing to the treatment plants loadings.
- 2. EPD has implemented extensive effluent sampling as required by the present NPDES permit. The facility is sampling bi-monthly for copper, lead and total phosphorous from the final effluent.
- 3. In the past, EPD has had ongoing issues with copper, silver, zinc, and mercury discharges from contributing towns (Bedford, Londonderry, and Goffstown). The sampling activities that were conducted this reporting period indicated that none of the three towns exceeded their allowable pounds of discharge for the parameters sampled.

EPD will continue to monitor the Towns for the metals listed above and continue to monitor for aluminum and total phosphorous to determine whether or not they are contributing to the treatment plants loadings.

Part 2 - 3 Summaries of Compliance and Enforcement Activities for Compliance Schedules Issued.

There were no enforcement activities or compliance schedules for the sixteen (16) CAT/SIU industries that are required to be permitted, sampled and inspected.

Part 2 - 4 Summaries of Compliance and Enforcement Activities for Written Notices of Violation Issued.

The City of Manchester, EPD, Industrial Enforcement Activities are summarized on the Enforcement Worksheet *Appendix E* at the end of Section Three. EPD issued eight (8) written Notice of Violations.

Of the eight (8) violations, seven (7) were for *Screening Limits* for BOD. In *Appendix E* is the breakdown of the Enforcement Log for the reporting period of June 1, 2017 through May 31, 2018.

One (1) violation was for an industry not submitting their periodical compliance reports (PCR) for the sampling and reporting period of June 1, 2017 through May 31, 2018.

Part 2 - 5 Summary of Compliance and Enforcement Activities Involving Administrative Orders Issued.

The City of Manchester, EPD did not issue any Administrative Orders during this reporting period of June 1, 2017 through May 31, 2018.

However, On November 15, 2017 the City reinstated the billing ratio to 1.7 on NYCOA's monthly sewer bill because they couldn't meet the effluent discharge limits of 3,920 lbs/day of caprolactam.

On May 2, 2018 the City agreed to lower the billing ratio back to 1.0 starting with April's sewer bill because NYCOA demonstrated six (6) months of compliance meeting their discharge limits of caprolactam. The City reserves the right to reinstitute the higher ratio if NYCOA is non-compliant in the future.

Part 2 - 6 Summary of Compliance and Enforcement Activities Involving Civil or Criminal Suits Filed.

The City of Manchester, EPD did not file any Civil or Criminal Suits during this reporting period of June 1, 2017 through May 31, 2018.

Part 2-7 Summary of Compliance and Enforcement Activities Involving Penalties Obtained.

The City of Manchester, EPD did not issue or collect any penalties to any permitted industries during this reporting period.

Information Required By EPA

Section Three

List of Significantly Violating Industries Requiring Publication.

In review of this year's inspections, self-monitoring reports and submission of periodic compliance reporting it was determined that all reporting and monitoring criteria have been met by all Class I permitted industries.

The City of Manchester, EPD had issued eight (8) notices of violations. Seven (7) of the violations were to NYCOA (Permit No. 1015) because they did not meet the City's *Screening Limits* for BOD during the sampling period.

The other one (1) violation was for the industry who did not conduct their reporting / sampling requirements during the sampling period.

1). E&R Cleaners (Permit No. 1068) was sent a NOV on 7/10/2018

The one (1) industry will be published as a Significantly Non-Compliant (SNC) industry in the local paper. A copy of the news article will be provided and a copy of the original article will be sent to your office.

LEGAL NOTICE

In accordance with the Federal Regulations, the City of Manchester, Department of Public Works, Environmental Protection Division, is required to make this public notice. Listed below are the wastewater discharge violations of the requirements of 40CFR Part 403 (General Industrial Pretreatment Regulations) that occurred during the reporting year of June 1, 2017 through May 31, 2018.

Industry Name: E&R Cleaners

Address: 80 Ross Ave., Manchester, NH 03103

Violation: Failure to provide and perform self-monitoring testing for the semi-annual period ending, December 15, 2017 to May 31, 2018. On July 2018 a Notice of Violation was issued.

Status: E & R Cleaners submitted their Periodic Compliance Report for the Semi-Annual period ending January 2018.

Penalties: Penalties were not assessed or deemed necessary for the incident.

APPENDIX - E ENFORCEMENT RESPONSE LOG

=
PP
3
0
\mathbf{Z}
N
ij
a

ω	2	н	
NYCOA	NYCOA	NYCOA	Facility
1015	1015	1015	Permit No.
September 2017- Exceedance of Local Limits Screening for BOD	August 2017- Exceedance of Local Limits Screening for BOD	June 2017- Exceedance of Local Limits Screening for BOD	Description of Notice of Violation
City issued a NOV and requested an explanation within 30 days of notice	City issued a NOV and requested an explanation within 30 days of notice	City issued a NOV and requested an explanation within 30 days of notice	Control Authority Action
10/12/2017	9/20/2017	7/25/2017	Date Issued
10/12/2017 11/12/2017 10/19/2017	10/20/2017 10/19/2017	8/25/2018	Due Date
10/19/2017		8/18/2017	Date Received
NYCOA show that on the 6th and 7th, their recovery system was down for repair due to some startup issues following the plant shutdown. The recovery system was restarted on the 7th. On the 13th of September, some of the recovered water had to be drained to the effluent to prevent overflow and shut down of the recovery system. Since then, Nycoa purchased some empty totes to be used to capture any excess recovered water should the situation arise again.	NYCOA had shut down for maintenance and boiler inspection. Complete shutdown will begin on Sunday the 12th. Production in the Compounding area might star up on Monday the 21st. Other production departments will startup sometime during the week of the 21st which caused the excess of Capro.	NYCOA replied in a email on 6/14/17 as an explanation of the high test value you saw for the sample taken that day. The email explains the failure of the sampler and the activity of our production facility. This explains a contributing factor to the high monthly average recorded. Since then, NYCOA ordered and received a new sampler with a backup replacement head to be installed on Monday, August 21st. A HACH technician will do the installation and calibration.	Final Resolutions of the NOV Issued

APPENDIX - E ENFORCEMENT RESPONSE LOG

	=
,	Ť
1	3
(9
í	4
7	
	Ĭ
	7
(2

O ₁	4	
NYCOA	NYCOA	Facility
1015	1015	Permit No.
December 2017- Exceedance of Local Limits Screening for BOD	November 2017- Exceedance of Local Limits Screening for BOD	Description of Notice of Violation
City issued a NOV and requested an explanation within 30 days of notice	City issued a NOV and requested an explanation within 30 days of notice	Control Authority Action
1/23/2018	12/20/2017	Date Issued
2/23/2018	1/20/2018	Due Date
2/7/2018	1/16/2018	Date Received
To reduce our effluent throughput and also the amount of organic content. Over the last couple of months the impact our work is having on the amount of organics we are sending the city. There was a short period of time in December where we were experimenting with how we operate our facility which resulted in higher effluent readings. NYCOA concluded that the design of a portion of the line was flawed which we have re-designed and will implement in March. NYCOA had put in place measures to detect along with abilities to automatically divert material that will prevent future violations. From the data, NYCOA ask to have a meeting with the city to discuss the possibility of reducing our charge factor from 1.7 back to 1.0.	On 11/16 and 11/17 which contributed to the monthly limit violation. The Recovery system was down with mechanical problems for parts of both of these days and was not able to process waste water thereby we had no option but to discharge the water. NYCOA had implemented ways of collecting this water now in cases like this to avoid discharging water with higher levels of organics.	Final Resolutions of the NOV Issued

APPENDIX - E ENFORCEMENT RESPONSE LOG

IPP MONITORING

∞			No.
	7	6	
E & R Cleaners	NYCOA	NYCOA	Facility
1068	1015	1015	Permit No.
March 2018- Exceedance of Local Limits Screening for BOD	May 2018- Exceedance of Local Limits Screening for BOD	March 2018- Exceedance of Local Limits Screening for BOD	Description of Notice of Violation
City issued a NOV and requested an explanation within 30 days of notice	City issued a NOV and requested an explanation within 30 days of notice	City issued a NOV and requested an explanation within 30 days of notice	Control Authority Action
7/10/2018	6/21/2018	1/23/2018	Date Issued
8/10/2018	7/23/2018	2/23/2018	Due Date
*	6/21/2018	2/23/2018	Date Received
E&R recognized due to the TWO previous maintenance managers departures failed to conduct their sampling requirements.	NYCOA explained the high number yesterday that bled into todays reading also. They had an o-ring failure on our capro filter housing that is on the first floor. This material made its way easily to the chemical sewer. This was found and fixed the next day at approximately 10am.	During this exceedance NYCOA was in the process of installing our vacuum condensers and doing a clean out on our Vacuum Stage which caused this NOV.	Final Resolutions of the NOV Issued

^{*} As of the time of report submission, the City has not received the required documents.

Information Required By EPA

Section Four

A Narrative Description of Program Effectiveness Including Present and Proposed Changes to the Program

Part 4 - 1 Effectiveness and Changes in Program.

The City of Manchester (EPD) operates a 34-MGD secondary activated sludge plant. The major components of the operation are grit removal, primary clarification, aeration, secondary settling and clarification, chlorination and dechlorination. Sludge is burned onsite through a fluidized-bed incinerator.

The City of Manchester has had an approved Industrial Pretreatment Program since 1980 that oversees three classifications of industrial users. The Class I are the CAT/SIU users. The Class II is industries that have certain permitted limitations. The Class III are mostly industries that had either a silvery recovery unit or a oil water separator. The City considers the Class II and III non SIU but have a potential to upset the treatment facility. All permitted industries are reviewed and permits are renewed every 5 years.

EPD has initiated the newly §441.40 pretreatment standards for new sources (PSNS) for Dental Amalgam Separator Rule. As of July 14, 2017, any new source subject to this part must comply with the requirements of §441.30(a) and (b) and the reporting and recordkeeping requirements of §441.50. On June 2018, EPD has started our annual inspection of all Dental Offices within the City of Manchester. We will establish a new inspection sheet tracking compliance and submit the database to the State of NH IPP Coordinator and EPA's Region One-Pretreatment Coordinator for their review.

EPD has written a draft Fats Oil and Grease (FOG) program that will be implemented in our Phase III CMOM program. The City will contact the State of NH IPP Coordinator and EPA's Region One-Pretreatment Coordinator for their comments prior to implementation.

Part 4 - 2 Narrative Description of Program Staffing.

The City of Manchester, (EPD) Monitoring Department is divided into four (4) programs, CSO, CMOM, Stormwater and the Industrial Pretreatment Program. The Environmental Permits Program Coordinator Jeremy Bouvier oversees the programs.

The Pretreatment program is administered by Christopher Crowley with the assistance of engineering technician Kyle St.Pierre. Kyle's role is assisting with Stormwater and CMOM projects, as well as pretreatment activities when needed.

Part 4 - 3 Narrative Description of Funding and Resources

The City of Manchester (EPD) is funded through the operating budget, which is supported by the City of Manchester sewer user revenues. Revenues are collected from sewer users through a combination of sewer use charges. All sewer users in the City of Manchester pay sewer user fees based on water consumption. The three towns serviced by the EPD are assessed fees based on their measured sewer flows and loadings into the Manchester Sewer System.

Part 4 - 4 Narrative Description of the Sewer Use Ordinance

The EPA and the Mayor and Board of Aldermen adopted the present Sewer Use Ordinance in 1997. The Ordinance is effective and adequate in addressing the requirements of Part 403 of the Federal Pretreatment Program requirements.

The few minor changes since adoption have been submitted with the updated IPP sent to EPA on May 11, 2007. On August 22, 2007 EPA responded to our Streamlining Rule modifications to the latest version of the Sewer Ordinance. This was adopted by Board of Mayor and Alderman on November 18, 2014.

Information Required By EPA

Section Five

Summary of Analytical Data.

This section includes the following data:

- 1. Summary of POTW Annual Influent Monitoring Results for the period of June 2017 through May 2018 compared to threshold inhibition concentrations. *See Appendix F*
- 2. Summary of POTW Annual Effluent Monitoring Results for the period of June 2017 through May 2018 compared to threshold inhibition concentrations. *See Appendix G*
- 3. Summary of Sludge Priority Pollutant Analysis Data for the period of June 2017 through May 2018. See Appendix H
- 4. Executive Summary of the Analytical Data is attached in the form of tables. The toxicity data is the summary sheets submitted by the contracted laboratory.

STUDY NUMBER 29415

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The following summarizes the results of modified acute and chronic exposure bioassays completed during <u>July 2017</u>, using samples collected from the Manchester, New Hampshire Wastewater Treatment Facility. Acute and chronic toxicity was evaluated using the freshwater species, *Ceriodaphnia dubia and Pimephales promelas*.

Test organisms used for the acute assays were <24 hours old. *C. dubia* derived from ESI cultures, and 10 day old P. promelas obtained from Aquatic Research Organisms, Hampton, New Hampshire. Test organisms used for the chronic assays were <24 hours old C. dubia cultured at ESI and released within 8 hours of one another, and <48 hours old P. promelas supplied by Aquatic BioSystems, Inc. of Fort Collins, Colorado. Dilution water was receiving water collected from the Merrimack River upstream of the discharge. Samples were received under chain of custody in good order. All samples receipt, test conditions and control endpoints were within protocol specifications, except where otherwise noted.

The results presented in this report relate only to the samples described on the chain(s) of custody and the sampling receipt log(s), and are intended to be used only by the submittor. Results from the chronic and modified acute exposure assays, and their relationship to permit limits are summarized in the following matrix.

Acute Toxicity Evaluation

Species	Exposure	LC-50	A-NOEC	Permit Limit (LC-50)	Effluent Meets Permit Limit	Assay Meets Protocol Limits
Ceriodaphnia dubia	48-Hours	>100 %	NC	>100 %	Yes	Yes
Pimephales promelas	48-Hours	>100 %	NC	>100 %	Yes	Yes
		Chro	nic Toxicit	y Evaluation		
Species	Exposure	C-NOEC	IC-25	Permit Limit (C-NOEC)	Effluent Meets Permit Limit	Assay Meets Protocol Limits
Ceriodaphnia dubia a	8 Days	100 %	N/C	>8.5 %	Yes	Yes b
Pimephales promelas	7 Days	100 %	>100%	>8.5 %	Yes	Yes

COMMENTS:

NC = Not Calculated.

Manchester WWTF Effluent Evaluation, <u>July 2017</u> Study number 29415.

^a The daphnid in the J replicate of the 100% test concentration was unaccounted for on test day 7: therefore, this replicate was excluded from the statistical analyses and brood production calculation.

^b The receiving water diluent control, and 8.5%, 12.5%, and 100% test concentrations failed to meet the test acceptability criterion for brood production. The assay also failed to meet the test acceptability criterion for variability (MSDp). The receiving water performed poorly relative to other test concentrations and the dose response generally follows in inverse trend. The non-diluent laboratory control and all other test concentrations meet and exceed test acceptability criteria. USEPA guidance supports a finding of no toxicity with this type on inverse dose-response (USEPA 2000), therefore, these data are considered valid.

STUDY NUMBER 29791

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The following summarizes the results of acute and chronic exposure bioassays completed during <u>October 2017</u> using samples collected from the Manchester, New Hampshire Wastewater Treatment Facility. Acute and chronic toxicity was evaluated using the freshwater species, *Ceriodaphnia dubia Pimephales promelas*.

Test organisms used for the acute assays were <24 hours old. *C. dubia* derived from ESI cultures, and 10 day old P. promelas obtained from Aquatic Research Organisms, Hampton, New Hampshire. Test organisms used for the chronic assays were <24 hours old C. dubia cultured at ESI and released within 8 hours of one another, and <48 hours old P. promelas supplied by Aquatic BioSystems, Inc. of Fort Collins, Colorado. Dilution water was receiving water collected from the Merrimack River upstream of the discharge. Samples were received under chain of custody in good order. All samples receipt, test conditions and control endpoints were within protocol specifications, except where otherwise noted.

The results presented in this report relate only to the samples described on the chain(s) of custody and sampling receipt log(s), and are intended to be used only by the submitter. Results from the chronic and modified acute exposure assays and their relationship to permit limits are summarized in the following matrix.

Acute Toxicity Evaluation

Species	Exposure	LC-50	A-NOEC	Permit Limit (LC-50)	Effluent Meets Permit Limit	Assay Meets Protocol Limits
Ceriodaphnia dubia	48-Hours	>100 %	NC	>100 %	Yes	Yes
Pimephales promelas	48-Hours	>100 %	NC	>100 %	Yes	Yes
		Chro	nic Toxicit	y Evaluation		
Species	Exposure	C-NOEC	IC-25	Permit Limit (C-NOEC)	Effluent Meets Permit Limit	Assay Meets Protocol Limits
Ceriodaphnia dubia	8 Days	100 %	>100%	>8.5 %	Yes	Yes a
Pimephales promelas	7 Days	100 %	N/C	>8.5 %	Yes	Yes

COMMENTS:

NC=Not Calculated

Manchester WWTF Effluent Evaluation, October 2017. Study number 29791.

^a The daphnid assay failed to meet the protocol specified statistical variability limit, MSDp for reproduction. The MSDp was computed to be 61.0%, which exceeds the acceptable range of 13% - 47% specified by the method protocol. All other test acceptability criteria were met, and the calculated IC-25 value for reproduction was >100%. Based on these findings, these data are considered provisionally valid.

^b Due to laboratory technician error, all replicates, except replicate F, of the laboratory control were overlooked on test day 7.

STUDY NUMBER 30220

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The following summarizes the results of modified acute and chronic exposure bioassays completed during <u>February 2018</u>, using samples collected from the Manchester, New Hampshire Wastewater Treatment Facility. Acute and chronic toxicity was evaluated using the freshwater species, *Ceriodaphnia dubia and Pimephales promelas*.

Test organisms used for the acute assays were <24 hours old. *C. dubia* derived from ESI cultures, and 10 day old P. promelas obtained from Aquatic Research Organisms, Hampton, New Hampshire. Test organisms used for the chronic assays were <24 hours old C. dubia cultured at ESI and released within 8 hours of one another, and <48 hours old P. promelas supplied by Aquatic BioSystems, Inc. of Fort Collins, Colorado. Dilution water was receiving water collected from the Merrimack River upstream of the discharge. Samples were received under chain of custody in good order. All samples receipt, test conditions and control endpoints were within protocol specifications, except where otherwise noted.

The results presented in this report relate only to the samples described on the chain(s) of custody and sampled receipt log(s), and are intended to be used only by the submitter. Results from the chronic and acute exposure assays and their relationship to permit limits are summarized in the following matrix.

Acute Toxicity Evaluation6

Species	Exposure	LC-50	A-NOEC	Permit Limit	Effluent Meets	Assay Meets
				(LC-50)	Permit Limit	Protocol Limits
Ceriodaphnia dubia	48-Hours	>100 %	NC	>100 %	Yes	Yes
Pimephales promelas	48-Hours	>100 %	NC	>100 %	Yes	Yes
		Chro	onic Toxicit	y Evaluation		
Species	Exposure	C-NOEC	IC-25	Permit Limit (C-NOEC)	Effluent Meets Permit Limit	Assay Meets Protocol Limits
Ceriodaphnia dubia a	7 Days	100 %	NC	>8.5 %	Yes	Yes
Pimephales promelas	7 Days	100 %	NC	>8.5 %	Yes	Yes b

COMMENTS:

NC = Not Calculated

Manchester WWTF Effluent Evaluation, <u>February 2018</u>. Study number 30220.

^a The E replicate of the receiving water control was removed from the statistical analyses and brood production calculation because the daphnid is this replicate was missing on test day 6.

^b The receiving water diluent control and the 12.5% test concentration failed to meet the test acceptability criterion for minnow survival. The assay also failed to meet the test acceptability criterion for minnow survival. The assay also failed to meet the test acceptability criterion for variability (MSDp). The receiving water performed poorly relative to other test concentrations and the dose response generally follows an inverse trend. The non-diluent laboratory control and all other test concentration meet the dose response generally follows an inverse trend. The non-diluent laboratory control and all other test concentrations meet and exceed test acceptability criteria. US EPA guidance supports a finding of no toxicity with this type of inverse dose-response (US EPA 2000; therefore, these data are considered valid.

STUDY NUMBER 30505

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The following summarizes the results of modified acute and chronic exposure bioassays completed during **April 2018**, using samples collected from the Manchester, New Hampshire Wastewater Treatment Facility. Acute and chronic toxicity was evaluated using the freshwater species, *Ceriodaphnia dubia and Pimephales promelas*.

Test organisms used for the acute assays were <24 hours old. *C. dubia* derived from ESI cultures, and 10 day old P. promelas obtained from Aquatic Research Organisms, Hampton, New Hampshire. Test organisms used for the chronic assays were <24 hours old C. dubia cultured at ESI and released within 8 hours of one another, and <48 hours old P. promelas supplied by Aquatic BioSystems, Inc. of Fort Collins, Colorado. Dilution water was receiving water collected from the Merrimack River upstream of the discharge. Samples were received under chain of custody in good order. All samples receipt, test conditions and control endpoints were within protocol specifications, except where otherwise noted.

The results presented in this report relate only to the samples described on the chain(s) of custody and the sampling receipt log(s), and are intended to be used only by the submittor. Results from the chronic and modified acute exposure assays, and their relationship to permit limits are summarized in the following matrix.

Acute Toxicity Evaluation

Species	Exposure	LC-50	A-NOEC	Permit Limit (LC-50)	Effluent Meets Permit Limit	Assay Meets Protocol Limits
Ceriodaphnia dubia Pimephales promelas	48-Hours 48-Hours	>100 % >100 %	NC NC	>100 % >100 %	Yes Yes	Yes Yes
		Chro	nic Toxicit	y Evaluation		
Species	Exposure	C-NOEC	IC-25	Permit Limit (C-NOEC)	Effluent Meets Permit Limit	Assay Meets Protocol Limits
Ceriodaphnia dubia Pimephales promelas ^b	8 Days 7 Days	100 % 100 %	NC >100%	>8.5 % >8.5 %	Yes Yes	Yes ^a Yes

COMMENTS:

NC = Not Calculated

Manchester WWTF Effluent Evaluation, <u>April 2018</u>. Study number 30505.

^a The receiving water control and several test concentrations fail to meet the test acceptability criterion for brood production. The laboratory control meets this criterion and all other test acceptability criteria are met. Based on this, these data are considered provisionally valid.

^b Replicate A of the receiving water control was removed from the statistical analyses due to abnormal mortality.

June 1, 2017 to May 31, 2018 City of Manchester NH, Annual IPP Report

APPENDIX - F

INFLUENT SAMPLING RESULTS

IPP MONITORING

INFLUENT	INFLUENT INFORMATION	State H2O Quality	Criteria Corrected	State H2O Quality	Criteria Corrected	Compliance
Date of Analysis	5/22/2018	Criteria	for 7Q10	Criteria	for 7Q10	Status
Lab I.D.	30688	Acute	Acute	Chronic	Chronic	
Listed Parameter	Concentration mg/l	Concentration mg/l (*) Concentration mg/l Concentration mg/l (*) Concentration mg/l	Concentration mg/l	Concentration mg/l (*)	Concentration mg/l	Yes or No
Antimony						
Aluminum	0.14					
Arsenic	0.0012	0.36	4.2552	0.19	2.24580	Yes
Barium						
Beryllium						
Cadmium	N/D	0.00082	0.00969	0.00038	0.0044916	Yes
Calcium						
Chromium	N/D	0.176	2.08032	0.057	0.67374	Yes
Copper	0.038	0.0046	0.054372	0.0035	0.04137	No
Hardness						
Fluoride						
Iron						
Lead	0.0016	0.014	0.16548	0.00055	0.0065010	Yes
Magnesium						
Mercury	0.00002	0.00204	0.02411	0.000012	0.000142	Yes
Molybdenum						
Nickel	0.0021	0.438	5.17716	0.0487	0.57563	Yes
Phenolic (T)	0.04					Yes
Selenium						
Silver	N/D	0.00032	0.0037824			Yes
Thallium	N/D					Yes
Vanadium						
Zinc	0.078	0.0354	0.418428	0.0322	0.38060	No.
Cyanide	N/D	0.022	0.26004	0.0052	0.06146	Yes
Oil & Grease	7.00					
Oil & Grease W/SGT	Γ					
T. Phosphorus	3.50					
ORGANICS	See Note below					
added 625	1,2 diphenylhydrazine	e				
3 and 4 methylphenol (p-cresol)	(p-cresol) N/D	aprodi				

The sample was tested for 624 - 625 and one compounds were seen at or above the detective limits. * The Merrimack River 7Q10 is at 412 MGD

Manchester has an average daily flow of 26 MGD and the correction factor is 11.82 X

APPENDIX - G

EFFULENT SAMPLING RESULTS

IPP Monitoring

EFFULENT II	EFFULENT INFORMATION	State H2O Quality	Criteria Corrected	State H2O Quality	Criteria Corrected	Compliance
Date of Analysis	5/22/2018	Criteria		Criteria	for 7Q10	Status
Lab I.D.	30685	Acute	Acute	Chronic	Chronic	
Listed Parameter	Concentration mg/l	Concentration mg/l (*) Concentration mg/l Concentration mg/l (*) Concentration mg/l	Concentration mg/l	Concentration mg/l (*)	Concentration mg/l	Yes or No
Antimony						
Aluminum	0.022					
Arsenic	N/D	0.36	4.2552	0.19	2.24580	Yes
Barium						
Beryllium						
Cadmium	N/D	0.00082	0.00969	0.00038	0.0044916	Yes
Calcium						
Chromium	N/D	0.176	2.08032	0.057	0.67374	Yes
Copper	0.0055	0.0046	0.054372	0.0035	0.04137	Yes
Hardness						
Fluoride						
Iron						
Lead	0.0004	0.014	0.16548	0.00055	0.0065010	Yes
Magnesium						
Mercury	N/D	0.00204	0.02411	0.000012	0.000142	Yes
Molybdenum						
Nickel	0.0018	0.438	5.17716	0.0487	0.57563	Yes
Phenolic (T)	N/D					Yes
Selenium						
Silver	N/D	0.00032	0.0037824			Yes
Thallium	N/D					Yes
Vanadium						
Zinc	0.042	0.0354	0.418428	0.0322	0.38060	Yes
Cyanide	N/D	0.022	0.26004	0.0052	0.06146	Yes
Oil & Grease	N/D					
Oil & Grease W/SGT		No.				
T. Phosphorus	0.48	1074.54				
ORGANICS	See Note below					
added 625	1,2 diphenylhydrazine	e				

The sample was tested for 624-625

Manchester has an average daily flow of 26 MGD and the correction factor is 11.82X

^{*}The Merrimack River 7Q10 is at 412 MGD

June 1, 2017 - May 31, 2018

June 1, 2017 - May	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY.	7/00/001=			ING RESU	San	MANAGE MANAGEMENT		The second second
Date Received Lab ID Number	6/23/2017	7/20/2017	8/23/2017		TCLP Limits		503 Rags	State NH (1)	State of
Listed Parameter	29317	29435	29585	29669	Criteria	Permit 5/1/15	Sub B (1)	Criteria	Complianc
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g	mg/kg ary wt.	mg/kg dry wt.	mg/kg dry wi	. mg/kg dry wt.	mg/kg dry v
Aluminum	3460.00	2490.00		3070.00					
Antimony	1.67	1.61		1.27	100				
Arsenic	4.64	4.16	5.91	5.10	100	8,573	41	32	Yes
Barium	314.00	270.00	223.00	173.00	2,000				
Beryllium	0.41	0.28		0.25					
Boron	5.32	5.01	10	3.45					
Cadmium	2.50	1.49	1.69	1.27	20	43,416	39	14	Yes
Chromium	17.90	14.50	24.00	18.80	100	1,423,398	1,200	1,000	Yes
Copper	272.00	253.00		219.00			1,500	1,500	yes
Iron	9800.00	7500.00		8430.00					
Lead	46.30	21.70	60.50	35.30	100	262,781	300	300	Yes
Mercury	0.43	0.41	0.41	0.35	4		17	10	Yes
Molybdenum	4.61	4.67		5.26			75	35	Yes
Nickel	11.60	9.54		10.70		213,643	420	200	5-2-5-5-5-5
Selenium	3.75	4.17	3.76	3.05	20	900000000000000000000000000000000000000	100	28	Yes
Silver	2.00	1.49	1.92	1.65	100		1967 TV TV	550	Yes
Thallium	N/D	N/D	77.50	N/D	35.50				
Vanadium	6.47	4.84		7.54					
Zinc	671.00	610.00		481.00			2,800	2,500	Yes
% Solids	26.00%	25.40%	30.00%	34.40%			2,000	2,500	1 63
Free Lq (Paint Filter)	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent					
% Carbon	HOSCIII	Hosein	AUSCIII	Absciit					
Total Organic Carbon									
% CaCO3 -eq.	1								
pH (Soil)									
	6/07/0017	0/10/2017	0/12/2017	0/10/0017				TO CHARLEST STATE OF THE STATE OF	
Date of Analysis			9/13/2017						
Lab ID Number			29585-001						
Concentration ug/g dr	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	mg/Kg					
acetone									
2-Butanone (MEK)									
1,2-dichloroethane									
1,1-dichloroethene									
1,4-dichlorobenzene				7.20					
benzene									
carbon tetrachloride									
toluene			2.30	94.00					
chloroform									
methyl ethyl ketone	80.00			2500.00					
tetrachloroethene									
trichloroethene									
vinyl chloride				10					
2-methylphenol (m-crea	isol)								
3-4-methylphenol(p-o-c			120.00		-				
1,4 dichlorobenzene									
o-cresol	97.00	140.00							
m-cresol	27.00	110.00							
p-cresol	97.00	140.00							
2,4-dinitrotoluene	27.00	140.00							
hexachlorobenzene									
	10				-				
hexochloro-1,3-butadien	IC								
hexachloroethane			7. 7.0						
methylene chloride			7.50						
pentachlorophenol	5.90	5.70							
Pryidine				1					
2,4,5-trichlorophenol									
2,4,6-trichlorophenol									
phenol									
						THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE	The state of the s	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 2 IS NOT THE PERSON NAME	THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE

June 1, 2017 - May 31, 2018

Date Received Lab ID Number	11/21/2017 29998	12/21/2017 30118	1/15/2018 30187	2/26/2018 30335	TCLP Limits Criteria	NPDES Permit 5/1/15	503 Rags Sub B (1)	State NH (1)	State of
Listed Parameter								Criteria	Compliance
	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g	mg/kg ary wt.	mg/kg dry wt.	mg/kg dry wt	. mg/kg dry wt.	mg/kg dry w
Aluminum	10850.00	2460.00	1550.00						
Antimony	4.86	1.57	1.32						
Arsenic	11.10	3.59	2.28	3.72	100	8,573	41	32	Yes
Barium	964.00	208.00	147.00	193.00	2,000				
Beryllium	1.23	0.24	0.14						
Boron	7.43	4.24	4.75						
Cadmium	7.28	5.19	1.68	5.37	20.0	43,416	39	14	Yes
Chromium	56.10	14.30	11.20	17.40	100	1,423,398	1,200	1,000	Yes
Copper	993.00	272.00	201.00				1,500	1,500	yes
Iron	27000.00	5730.00	4050.00						•
Lead	143.00	25.80	32.40	31.30	100	262,781	300	300	Yes
Mercury	0.52	0.44	0.22	0.25	4.0	500.0040.000.0005.0000	17	10	Yes
Molybdenum	11.70	4.24	3.32	11 202 65/578	75.75		75	35	Yes
Nickel	39.00	9.73	7.32			213,643	420	200	7.00
Selenium	3.99	5.04	4.50	2.67	20.0	210,010	100	28	Yes
Silver	7.48	3.01	1.67	2.06	100		100	20	Yes
Thallium	0.48	0.20	N/D	2.00	100				162
Vanadium	20.70	4.40	2.52						
Zinc	2350.00	684.00	457.00				3 000	2.500	37
% Solids	33.70%	24.60%	23.90%				2,800	2,500	Yes
	Absent	Absent		41					
Free Lq (Paint Filter)	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent					
% Carbon									
Total Organic Carbon									
% CaCO3 -eq.									
pH (Soil)									
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis	11/17/2017			3/12/2018					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number	29998-001	30118.001	30187-001	30335-001					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry	29998-001								
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone	29998-001	30118.001	30187-001	30335-001					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK)	29998-001	30118.001	30187-001	30335-001					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone	29998-001	30118.001	30187-001	30335-001					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene	29998-001	30118.001	30187-001	30335-001					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane	29998-001	30118.001	30187-001	30335-001					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene	29998-001	30118.001	30187-001	30335-001 mg/Kg					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene	29998-001	30118.001	30187-001	30335-001 mg/Kg					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride	29998-001 mg/Kg	30118.001	30187-001	30335-001 mg/Kg					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride toluene	29998-001	30118.001	30187-001	30335-001 mg/Kg					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride toluene chloroform	29998-001 mg/Kg	30118.001	30187-001	30335-001 mg/Kg					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride toluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone	29998-001 mg/Kg	30118.001 mg/Kg	30187-001	30335-001 mg/Kg					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride toluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene	29998-001 mg/Kg	30118.001	30187-001	30335-001 mg/Kg					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride toluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene trichloroethene	29998-001 mg/Kg	30118.001 mg/Kg	30187-001	30335-001 mg/Kg					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride toluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene vinyl chloride	29998-001 mg/Kg	30118.001 mg/Kg	30187-001	30335-001 mg/Kg					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride toluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene vinyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-creas	29998-001 mg/Kg 96.80	30118.001 mg/Kg	30187-001	30335-001 mg/Kg					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride toluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene vinyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-creas 3-4-methylphenol(p-o-c)	29998-001 mg/Kg 96.80	30118.001 mg/Kg	30187-001	30335-001 mg/Kg					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride toluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene vinyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-creas 3-4-methylphenol(p-o-c) 1,4 dichlorobenzene	96.80 96.00	30118.001 mg/Kg 8.90	30187-001	30335-001 mg/Kg 2.40					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride toluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene trichloroethene vinyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-creas 3-4-methylphenol(p-o-c) 1,4 dichlorobenzene o-cresol	29998-001 mg/Kg 96.80	30118.001 mg/Kg	30187-001	30335-001 mg/Kg					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride toluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene vinyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-creas 3-4-methylphenol(p-o-c) 1,4 dichlorobenzene o-cresol m-cresol	96.80 96.00 50.00	30118.001 mg/Kg 8.90 3000.00 34.00	30187-001	30335-001 mg/Kg 2.40 1600.00					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride toluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene vinyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-creas 3-4-methylphenol(p-o-c) 1,4 dichlorobenzene o-cresol m-cresol p-cresol	96.80 96.00	30118.001 mg/Kg 8.90	30187-001	30335-001 mg/Kg 2.40					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride toluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene vinyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-creas 3-4-methylphenol(p-o-c) 1,4 dichlorobenzene o-cresol m-cresol p-cresol 2,4-dinitrotoluene	96.80 96.00 50.00	30118.001 mg/Kg 8.90 3000.00 34.00	30187-001	30335-001 mg/Kg 2.40 1600.00					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride toluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene vinyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-creas 3-4-methylphenol (m-creas 3-4-methylphenol (p-o-c) 1,4 dichlorobenzene o-cresol m-cresol p-cresol 2,4-dinitrotoluene hexachlorobenzene	96.80 96.80 50.00 50.00	30118.001 mg/Kg 8.90 3000.00 34.00	30187-001	30335-001 mg/Kg 2.40 1600.00					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride toluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene vinyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-creas 3-4-methylphenol(p-o-c) 1,4 dichlorobenzene o-cresol m-cresol p-cresol 2,4-dinitrotoluene hexachlorobenzene hexochloro-1,3-butadien	96.80 96.80 50.00 50.00	30118.001 mg/Kg 8.90 3000.00 34.00	30187-001	30335-001 mg/Kg 2.40 1600.00					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride toluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene vinyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-creas 3-4-methylphenol (m-creas 3-4-methylphenol (p-o-c) 1,4 dichlorobenzene o-cresol m-cresol p-cresol 2,4-dinitrotoluene hexachlorobenzene	96.80 96.80 50.00 50.00	30118.001 mg/Kg 8.90 3000.00 34.00	30187-001	30335-001 mg/Kg 2.40 1600.00					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride toluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene vinyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-creas 3-4-methylphenol(p-o-c) 1,4 dichlorobenzene o-cresol m-cresol p-cresol 2,4-dinitrotoluene hexachlorobenzene hexochloro-1,3-butadien	96.80 96.80 50.00 50.00	30118.001 mg/Kg 8.90 3000.00 34.00	30187-001	30335-001 mg/Kg 2.40 1600.00					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride toluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene trichloroethene trichloroethene toluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene o-cresol p-cresol 2,4-dinitrotoluene hexachlorobenzene hexachloroethane nitrobenzene	96.80 96.80 50.00 50.00	30118.001 mg/Kg 8.90 3000.00 34.00	30187-001	30335-001 mg/Kg 2.40 1600.00					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride toluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene vinyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-creas 3-4-methylphenol(p-o-c) 1,4 dichlorobenzene o-cresol m-cresol p-cresol 2,4-dinitrotoluene hexachlorobenzene hexachloroethane nitrobenzene pentachlorophenol	96.80 96.80 50.00 50.00	30118.001 mg/Kg 8.90 3000.00 34.00	30187-001	30335-001 mg/Kg 2.40 1600.00					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride toluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene vinyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-creas 3-4-methylphenol(p-o-c) 1,4 dichlorobenzene o-cresol m-cresol p-cresol 2,4-dinitrotoluene hexachloroethane hexachloroethane nitrobenzene pentachlorophenol Pryidine	96.80 96.80 50.00 50.00	30118.001 mg/Kg 8.90 3000.00 34.00	30187-001	30335-001 mg/Kg 2.40 1600.00					
pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dry acetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride toluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene vinyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-creas 3-4-methylphenol(p-o-c) 1,4 dichlorobenzene o-cresol m-cresol p-cresol 2,4-dinitrotoluene hexachlorobenzene hexachloroethane nitrobenzene pentachlorophenol	96.80 96.80 50.00 50.00	30118.001 mg/Kg 8.90 3000.00 34.00	30187-001	30335-001 mg/Kg 2.40 1600.00					

June 1, 2017 - May 31, 2018

June 1, 2017 - May					ING RESU	Martines 67%			
Date Received	3/22/2018	4/20/2018	5/18/2018		TCLP Limits		503 Rags	State NH (1)	State of
Lab ID Number	30448	30557	30687	30766		Permit 5/1/15		Criteria	Complianc
Listed Parameter	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g	mg/kg dry wt.	.mg/kg dry wt.	mg/kg dry wt	. mg/kg dry wt.	mg/kg dry v
Aluminum		2670.00							
Antimony		1.55						202	
Arsenic	2.38	2.95	3.61	5.13	100	8,573	41	32	Yes
Barium	212.00	224.00	242.00	310.00	2,000				
Beryllium		0.32							
Boron		4.31							
Cadmium	6.54	3.17	3.23	1.97	20.0	43,416	39	14	Yes
Chromium	19.00	15.30	13.90	17.50	100	1,423,398	1,200	1,000	Yes
Copper		224.00					1,500	1,500	yes
Iron		9500.00							
Lead	28.50	28.50	33.70	38.90	100	262,781	300	300	Yes
Mercury	0.25	0.92	0.34	0.45	4.0		17	10	Yes
Molybdenum		3.96					75	35	Yes
Nickel		10.10				213,643	420	200	100000000000000000000000000000000000000
Selenium	3.09	3.05	4.31	4.98	20.0		100	28	Yes
Silver	1.63	2.55	2.68	3.92	100		F17.5		Yes
Thallium		N/D	market bears	10-75-50-70					A 0.0
Vanadium		5.67							
Zinc		598.00					2,800	2,500	Yes
% Solids	24.50%	22.10%	26.70%	26.70%			2,000	2,500	169
Free Lq (Paint Filter)	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent					
% Carbon	Absent	Abscit	Abscnt	Absciit					
Total Organic Carbon									
% CaCO3 -eq.									
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil)									
	2/22/2019	4/20/2018	5/10/2010	6/14/2010		To the control of the control of			and the same of th
Date of Analysis Lab ID Number		4/20/2018	5/18/2018	6/14/2018					
Concentration ug/g dr			30687-001						
	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	mg/Kg		AND DESCRIPTION OF			SES-27 199
acetone			1200.00						
2-Butanone (MEK)			4300.00						
1,2-dichloroethane									
1,1-dichloroethene									
1,4-dichlorobenzene									
benzene									
carbon tetrachloride									
toluene			200.00						
chloroform									
methyl ethyl ketone	2400.00	3005.00							
tetrachloroethene									
trichloroethene									
vinyl chloride									
2-methylphenol (m-crea	sol)		52.00						
3-4-methylphenol(p-o-c				64.00					
1,4 dichlorobenzene									
o-cresol	120.00	220.00		64.00					101
m-cresol	120.00	220.00		01.00					
p-cresol	120.00	220.00		64.00					
2,4-dinitrotoluene	120.00	220.00		04.00					
hexachlorobenzene	-								
hexochloro-1,3-butadier	ie								
hexachloroethane									
nitrobenzene									
pentachlorophenol									
Pryidine									- 1 = 1 - 1 - 0
2,4,5-trichlorophenol									
2,4,6-trichlorophenol									
phenol									
AND RESIDENCE OF PERSONS ASSESSMENT OF THE PERSON OF THE P	Constitution - Transfer Land	As the state of th	ALC: UNKNOWN THE REAL PROPERTY.			A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	The second secon		

SLUDGE SAMPLING RESULTS

IPP MONITORING

Date Received	7/27/2018				TCLP Limits		503 Rags	State NH (1)	State of
Lab ID Number Listed Parameter	184790 ug/g	187019 mg/kg	187019.01 mg/kg	188422 mg/kg	Criteria	Permit 5/1/15 t.mg/kg dry wt.r	Sub B (1)	Criteria	Compliance
Aluminum	ug/g	6800.00	mg/kg	3100.00	mg/kg ury wi	i.mg/kg ary wi.i	ng/kg ary wi	.mg/kg ary wt.	mg/kg ary v
Antimony		2.30	2.50	1.50					
Arsenic	0.50	9.20	7.90	4.90	100	0.552	- 11	22	3.7
Barium	0.50	270.00	<0.5	290.00	100	8,573	41	32	Yes
	0.50	0.87	<0.5		2,000				
Beryllium	0.50		<0.5	< 0.5					
Boron	1.00	5.60		5.00	20.0	10.114			
Cadmium	1.90	2.90	1.90	1.80	20.0	43,416	39	14	Yes
Chromium	23.00	29.00	25.00	14.00	100	1,423,398	1,200	1,000	Yes
Copper	300.00	350.00	300.00	260.00			1,500	1,500	yes
fron	12000.00	11000.00	10000.00	10000.00					
Lead	68.00	59.00	51.00	23.00	100	262,781	300	300	Yes
Mercury	0.01	0.68	0.74	0.29	4.0		17	10	Yes
Molybdenum	6.50	7.10	9.30	7.20			75	35	Yes
Nickel		17.00	15.00	8.90		213,643	420	200	
Selenium	4.10	3.10	2.70	3.70	20.0		100	28	Yes
Silver	2.20	2.20	2.50	2.60	100				Yes
Thallium	0.50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5					
Vanadium		12.00		5.50					
Zinc	660.00	710.00	650.00	480.00			2,800	2,500	Yes
% Solids	27.80%	0.29	17.90	24.20					
Free Lq (Paint Filter)	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent					
% Carbon									
Total Organic Carbon									
% CaCO3 -eq.									
pH (Soil)									
Date of Analysis	7/27/2018	8/18/2018	9/21/2018	10/26/2018					
Lab ID Number		185782.01							
Concentration ug/g dry	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	mg/Kg					
acetone		==an dissa hirasana di limita y							
2-Butanone (MEK)	940.00	680.00	600.00	1500.00					
1,2-dichloroethane									
1,1-dichloroethene									
1,1-dichloroethene									
1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene									
1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene									
1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride		41 00		160.00					
I,1-dichloroethene I,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride Γoluene		41.00		160.00					
I,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride Foluene chloroform		41.00		160.00					
I,1-dichloroethene I,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride Foluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone		41.00		160.00					
I,1-dichloroethene I,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride Toluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene		41.00		160.00					
I,1-dichloroethene I,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride Toluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene crichloroethene		41.00		160.00					
I,1-dichloroethene I,4-dichlorobenzene Denzene Carbon tetrachloride Foluene Chloroform Methyl ethyl ketone Metrachloroethene Crichloroethene Crichloroethene Crichloride		41.00		160.00					
I,1-dichloroethene I,4-dichlorobenzene Denzene Carbon tetrachloride Foluene Chloroform The thyl ethyl ketone The trachloroethene The trachloroeth			94.00						
I,1-dichloroethene I,4-dichlorobenzene I,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride Γoluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene trinyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-creas 3-4-methylphenol(p-o-c)		41.00	84.00	160.00					
I,1-dichloroethene I,4-dichlorobenzene penzene parbon tetrachloride Foluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone etrachloroethene richloroethene rinyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-creas 3-4-methylphenol(p-o-c) 1,4 dichlorobenzene			84.00						
I,1-dichloroethene I,4-dichlorobenzene Denzene Carbon tetrachloride Foluene Chloroform Methyl ethyl ketone Metrachloroethene Michloroethene Michloroethene Michloride Michloride Michlorobenzene Michlorobenze			84.00						
I,1-dichloroethene I,4-dichlorobenzene Denzene Carbon tetrachloride Coluene Chloroform Methyl ethyl ketone etrachloroethene richloroethene richloride Denethylphenol (m-creas B-4-methylphenol(p-o-c) I,4 dichlorobenzene D-cresol M-cresol			84.00						
I,1-dichloroethene I,4-dichlorobenzene I,4-dichlorobenzene Denzene Carbon tetrachloride Foluene Chloroform Methyl ethyl ketone Methyl ethyl ketone Methyloroethene Minyl chloride Methylphenol (m-crease Methylphenol (p-o-c)			84.00						
I,1-dichloroethene I,4-dichlorobenzene I,4-dichlorobenzene Denzene Den			84.00						
I,1-dichloroethene I,4-dichlorobenzene I,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride Foluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone methyl ethyl ketone metrachloroethene winyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-creas 3-4-methylphenol(p-o-c) 1,4 dichlorobenzene p-cresol m-cresol p-cresol 2,4-dinitrotoluene mexachlorobenzene	140.00		84.00						
I,1-dichloroethene I,4-dichlorobenzene Denzene Carbon tetrachloride Foluene Chloroform Methyl ethyl ketone Metrachloroethene Minyl chloride C-methylphenol (m-creas B-4-methylphenol(p-o-c) I,4 dichlorobenzene D-cresol M-cresol D-cresol D-cresol M-cresol M-cresol D-cresol M-cresol M	140.00		84.00						
I,1-dichloroethene I,4-dichlorobenzene Denzene Denzen	140.00		84.00						
I,1-dichloroethene I,4-dichlorobenzene Denzene Denzen	140.00		84.00						
I,1-dichloroethene I,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride Foluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene crichloroethene c	140.00		84.00						
I,1-dichloroethene I,4-dichlorobenzene Denzene Carbon tetrachloride Foluene Chloroform Methyl ethyl ketone Metrachloroethene Minyl chloride Demethylphenol (m-crease B-4-methylphenol(p-o-c) I,4 dichlorobenzene Decresol Mecresol Mecresol Mecresol Mexachlorobenzene Mexachlorobenzene Mexachlorobenzene Mexachloroethane Mexachloroethane Mitrobenzene Mexachloroethane Mitrobenzene Mexachlorophenol	140.00		84.00						
I,1-dichloroethene I,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride Foluene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene trichlorobenzene to-cresol trichloroethene	140.00		84.00						
I,1-dichloroethene I,4-dichlorobenzene Denzene Carbon tetrachloride Foluene Chloroform Methyl ethyl ketone Metrachloroethene Minyl chloride Demethylphenol (m-crease B-4-methylphenol(p-o-c) I,4 dichlorobenzene Decresol Mecresol Mecresol Mecresol Mexachlorobenzene Mexachlorobenzene Mexachlorobenzene Mexachloroethane Mexachloroethane Mitrobenzene Mexachloroethane Mitrobenzene Mexachlorophenol	140.00		84.00						

Date Received	11/27/2018	12/28/2018	TCLP Limi	ts NPDES	503 Rags	State NH (1)	State of
Lab ID Number	189714	190702		Permit 5/1/15		Criteria	Complian
Listed Parameter	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg dry w	tmg/kg dry wtr	ng/kg dry wt	mg/kg dry wti	ng/kg dry
Aluminum	4	: 4300.00 :	1 1			The state of the s	
Antimony	1.90	1.90					
Arsenic	6.40	4.50	100	8,573	41	32	Yes
Barium	< 0.5	260.00	2,000				
Beryllium	0.57	<0.5	1				
Boron	#	4.30					
Cadmium	3.0	2.10	20.0	43,416	39	14	Yes
Chromium	19.0	18.00	100	1,423,398	1,200	1,000	Yes
Copper	250.0	250.00		2,120,000	1,500	1,500	yes
Iron	11000.00	11000.000			_,-,-	2,000	, , ,
Lead	42.0	36.00	100	262,781	300	300	Yes
Mercury	0.30	0.32	4.0	202,701	17	10	Yes
Molybdenum	4.30	3.800	···		75	35	Yes
Nickel	12.0	10.00		213,643	420	200	1 63
Selenium	2.0	3.10	20.0	213,043	100	28	Yes
Silver	2.0	2.40	100		100	20	
Thallium	<0.5	<0.5	100				Yes
	<u> </u>	8.80					
Vanadium		530.00			2 000	2 =00	••
Zinc	480.0				2,800	2,500	Yes
% Solids	24.3	24.90					
Free Lq (Paint Filter)	Absent	Absent					
% Carbon							
Total Organic Carbon							
% CaCO3 -eq.							
% CaCO3 -eq.							
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil)	11/27/2018	12/28/2018					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis		12/28/2018 190702.02					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g d	189714.02						
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g d	189714.02	190702.02					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g date	189714.02	190702.02					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g deacetone 2-Butanone (MEK)	189714.02 r: mg/Kg	190702.02 mg/Kg					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g dacetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane	189714.02 r: mg/Kg	190702.02 mg/Kg					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g deacetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene	189714.02 r: mg/Kg 400.00	190702.02 mg/Kg					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g descetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene	189714.02 r: mg/Kg 400.00	190702.02 mg/Kg					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g diacetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene	189714.02 r: mg/Kg 400.00	190702.02 mg/Kg					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g diacetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride	189714.02 r: mg/Kg 400.00	190702.02 mg/Kg					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g deacetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride chlorobenzene	189714.02 r: mg/Kg 400.00	190702.02 mg/Kg					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g descetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride chlorobenzene chloroform	189714.02 r: mg/Kg 400.00	190702.02 mg/Kg					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g descetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride chlorobenzene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone	189714.02 r: mg/Kg 400.00	190702.02 mg/Kg					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g descetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene	189714.02 r: mg/Kg 400.00	190702.02 mg/Kg					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g diacetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene trichloroethene	189714.02 r: mg/Kg 400.00	190702.02 mg/Kg					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g diacetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene vinyl chloride	189714.02 r; mg/Kg 400.00	190702.02 mg/Kg					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g diacetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride chlorobenzene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene vinyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-cre	189714.02 r; mg/Kg 400.00	190702.02 mg/Kg 1700.00					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g descetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,4-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride chlorobenzene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene vinyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-cre 3-4-methylphenol(p-o-	189714.02 r; mg/Kg 400.00	190702.02 mg/Kg					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g descetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,4-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride chlorobenzene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene vinyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-cre 3-4-methylphenol(p-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-o	189714.02 r; mg/Kg 400.00	190702.02 mg/Kg 1700.00					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g descetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,4-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride chlorobenzene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene vinyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-cre 3-4-methylphenol(p-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-o	189714.02 r; mg/Kg 400.00	190702.02 mg/Kg 1700.00					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g descetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,4-dichlorobenzene carbon tetrachloride chlorobenzene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene winyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-cre 3-4-methylphenol(p-o- 1,4 dichlorobenzene o-cresol	189714.02 r; mg/Kg 400.00	190702.02 mg/Kg 1700.00					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g diacetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene vinyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-cre 3-4-methylphenol(p-o-o-o-o-o-cresol m-cresol	189714.02 r; mg/Kg 400.00	190702.02 mg/Kg 1700.00					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g diacetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene vinyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-cre 3-4-methylphenol(p-o-o 1,4 dichlorobenzene benzene	189714.02 r; mg/Kg 400.00	190702.02 mg/Kg 1700.00					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g diacetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride chlorobenzene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene	189714.02 r; mg/Kg 400.00	190702.02 mg/Kg 1700.00					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g diacetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,4-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride chlorobenzene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene vinyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-cre 3-4-methylphenol (p-o- 1,4 dichlorobenzene o-cresol m-cresol p-cresol 2,4-dinitrotoluene hexachlorobenzene	189714.02 r; mg/Kg 400.00 asol) c; 1600.00	190702.02 mg/Kg 1700.00					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g diacetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride chlorobenzene ethloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene vinyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-cre 3-4-methylphenol (p-o- 1,4 dichlorobenzene o-cresol m-cresol p-cresol 2,4-dinitrotoluene hexachloro-1,3-butadie	189714.02 r; mg/Kg 400.00 asol) c; 1600.00	190702.02 mg/Kg 1700.00					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g descetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride chlorobenzene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene vinyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-cre 3-4-methylphenol(p-o- 1,4 dichlorobenzene o-cresol m-cresol p-cresol 2,4-dinitrotoluene hexachloroethane hexachloroethane	189714.02 r; mg/Kg 400.00 asol) c; 1600.00	190702.02 mg/Kg 1700.00					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g descetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride chlorobenzene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene	189714.02 r; mg/Kg 400.00 asol) c; 1600.00	190702.02 mg/Kg 1700.00					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g descence 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride chlorobenzene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene	189714.02 r; mg/Kg 400.00 asol) c; 1600.00	190702.02 mg/Kg 1700.00					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g diacetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride chlorobenzene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene	189714.02 r; mg/Kg 400.00 asol) c; 1600.00	190702.02 mg/Kg 1700.00					
% CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g diacetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene benzene carbon tetrachloride chlorobenzene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone tetrachloroethene trichloroethene vinyl chloride 2-methylphenol (m-cre 3-4-methylphenol (p-o- 1,4 dichlorobenzene p-cresol p-cresol 2,4-dinitrotoluene mexachloroethane mexachloroethane mexachloroethane mexachloroethane mexachloroethane mexachlorophenol Pryidine 2,4,5-trichlorophenol	189714.02 r; mg/Kg 400.00 asol) c; 1600.00	190702.02 mg/Kg 1700.00					
My CaCO3 -eq. pH (Soil) Date of Analysis Lab ID Number Concentration ug/g diacetone 2-Butanone (MEK) 1,2-dichloroethane 1,1-dichloroethene 1,4-dichlorobenzene carbon tetrachloride chlorobenzene chloroform methyl ethyl ketone cetrachloroethene crichloroethene crichloroet	189714.02 r; mg/Kg 400.00 asol) c; 1600.00	190702.02 mg/Kg 1700.00					

Information Required By EPA

Section Six

Description of Interference and Pass-Through

There was no industrial interference with the treatment plant process that caused any interference or pass-through during the June 1, 2017 through May 31, 2018 reporting period. The new aeration system is fully operational. The new system is much more forgiving in handling high loadings and low dissolved oxygen conditions are quickly corrected. EPD will continue monitor the conditions and adjust the performance of the plant's performance.

Information Required By EPA

Section Seven

Investigation of Interference and Pass-Through

The City of Manchester, EPD has taken a proactive approach in sampling the POTW influent and effluent for nutrients, metals and total phosphorus. EPD has taken the initiative to sample permit renewals that could potentially contribute to the metals and total phosphorus loadings at the treatment plant. EPD has determined that the Manchester Water Works and Granite Ridge Energy of New Hampshire are two industries that might be contributing to the influent aluminum during dry weather conditions.

EPD will continue to test for aluminum, mercury and total phosphorus from the contributing towns (Bedford, Goffstown and Londonderry) during the quarterly sampling activities.

<u>The Town of Bedford</u> quarterly sampling results from June 1, 2017 to May 31, 2018 indicated that they had not exceeded their allowable pounds per day for any of the parameters that were tested. The additional test results for aluminum, mercury and phosphorus are in the table below.

The additional sampling of:	Aluminum	Mercury	Total Phosphorus
3/24/2017	0.800 mg/l	0.00014 mg/l	6.70 mg/l
6/19/2017	1.30 mg.1	0.00024 mg/l	5.60 mg/l
2/9/2018	11.11mg.l	0.00020 mg/l	12.00 mg/l
5/2/2018	1.65 mg.1	0.00003 mg/l	7.60 mg/l

<u>The Town of Goffstown</u> quarterly sampling results from June 1, 2017 to May 31, 2018 indicated that they had not exceeded their allowable pounds per day for any of the parameters that were tested. The additional test results for aluminum, mercury and phosphorus are in the table below.

The additional sampling of:	Aluminum	Mercury	Total Phosphorus
3/24/2017	NS	NS	NS
6/19/2017	0.51mg/l	0.00004 mg/l	4.50 mg/l
2/21/2018	0.20 mg/l	0.00002 mg/l	2.50 mg/l
5/2/2018	0.25 mg/l	0.00002 mg/l	14.00 mg/l

Note: N S = sampler was not functional.

The Town of Londonderry quarterly sampling results from June 1, 2017 to May 31, 2018 indicated that they had not exceeded their allowable pounds per day for any of the parameters that was tested. The additional test results for aluminum, mercury and phosphorus are in the table below.

The addit	ional sampling of:	Aluminum	Mercury	Total Phosphorus
	3/24/2017	0.19 mg/l	N/D	7.30 mg/l
	6/19/2017	0.59 mg/l	0.0002 mg/l	3.80 mg/l
	2/9/2018	0.40 mg/l	0.00001 mg/l	7.30 mg/l
	5/2/2018	0.83 mg/l	0.00001 mg/l	15.00 mg/l

EPD will continue to monitor the influent and effluent for those parameters to ensure we are meeting the effluent requirements.

Information Required By EPA

Section Eight

Monitoring for Interference and Pass-Through

The City of Manchester, EPD has an active sampling and monitoring program for interference and pass-through. Below are the tests performed for the parameters listed through the reporting period.

- 1. Influent and Effluent monitoring for metals, total phosphorous, cyanide, volatile and semi-volatile organics (priority pollutant scan).
- 2. Quarterly toxicity testing as outlined within the NPDES Permit.
- 3. Monthly Sludge analysis for metals. Testing includes the required total metals analysis (Antimony, Arsenic, Barium, Beryllium, Cadmium, Chromium, Copper, Lead, Mercury, Molybdenum, Nickel, Selenium, Silver, Thallium, Zinc, and EPD added Al), but also included some TCLP and organics analysis when we landfill our sludge during incinerator shutdown.
- 4. Semi-Annual Ash monitoring for all priority pollutants.
- 5. Annual Grits & Screening for all priority pollutants.
- 6. Increased Class II Industrial sampling during permit renewals above what is required in the approved IPP.
- 7. Continued Effluent monitoring on a monthly basis. As of 2015, EPD has been monitoring the effluent twice a month for grab samples for Copper, Lead and Total Phosphorous due to the fact that those parameters are a requirement in our current NPDES permit.
- 8. Sampling of Intermunicipal Agreement communities (Bedford, Goffstown and Londonderry) for Local Limits metals of concern (Ag, Al, Cu, Pb, Hg, Zn and Total phosphorous). Due to EPA Interim Mercury Control Plan, EPD has begun sampling the Towns on a monthly basis for mercury.
- 9. A requirement of the Town of Londonderry is to check their discharges for excessive metals, organics, sulfides and other inorganics.
- 10. The City shall comply with the obligations to comply with the Interim Mercury Control Plan instituted by EPA under the air emissions requirements. Below are control measurements to assist in the investigation of mercury in our emissions.
 - a. Manchester currently maintains a sludge-monitoring program that satisfies State and Federal requirements. This program includes evaluation of new industrial customers prior to receiving their wastewater, ongoing periodic and routine monitoring of customer wastewater and quarterly sampling of sludge feed to the FBI (as required by 40 CFR Part 503). All of the monitoring and sampling components of this program include mercury in their analyses.

- b. Evaluate (a) the self-monitoring reports submitted by all facilities discharging to the WWTP under a municipal Industrial Discharge Permit (each hereinafter an Industrial Permittee), and (b) the results of annual Industrial Permittee wastewater sampling performed by Manchester, to determine the facilities that have the highest mercury content of wastewater by weight discharged to the WWTP for the past three years (Industrial Permittee wastewater is sampled at least three times per year, twice per year for the self-monitoring reports and once per year by Manchester).
- c. If warranted, send an outreach letter to each Industrial Permittee identified in #1 and #2 requesting their assistance in reducing mercury in their wastewater discharges.
- d. Collect wastewater samples from the three towns that have Intermunicipal Agreements with Manchester (Bedford, Goffstown, and Londonderry) (Towns) on a monthly basis, rather than the current quarterly basis, at the existing metered stations, to evaluate the Towns' mercury content of the wastewater by weight discharged to the WWTP. To determine whether a town exceeds its WWTP limit for mercury.
- e. In the event that the increased wastewater sampling identifies a mercury exceedance by a Town, Manchester will send an outreach letter to the Town's Department of Public Works asking for their assistance in reducing mercury in its wastewater discharge and scheduled a meeting with the Town to discuss how best to address the exceedance.
- f. Increase dental office inspections under the Industrial Pretreatment Program's Dental Amalgam Program from every two years to every year. Currently EPD has started inspected them and predict by mid-August all will be completed.

Information Required By EPA

Section Nine Reduction Efforts for SNC SIUs

The City of Manchester, EPD continues to be diligent in notifying each industry of potential late sampling and reporting requirements as outlined in their permits. The industrial sampling, both City unannounced and industrial self-monitoring indicate that all permitted Class I CIUs/SIUs are discharging within their categorical and/or headworks loading concentrations.

The City of Manchester, EPD is continuing to take a proactive approach in investigating potential industries. We conduct an initial walk through, gather flow information (water consumption) with the City's billing department of potential industries, and log them into the database.

EPD joined the City of Manchester's Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC). Due to continued logistical issues there has not been any recent activity. The City hired a new Emergency Management Coordinator and EPD will re-establish our involvement and continue to work with this organization to assist in identifying potential industries of concern.

Information Required By EPA

Section Ten Local Limits Adoption

The local limits are current and technically based. The EPA accepted the limits with notification to the City of Manchester on March 18, 1997. The City formerly adopted the limits within the Sewer Use Ordinance on August 5, 1997.

The City of Manchester's NPDES permit became effective May 1, 2015. A requirement was to submit, within 180 days of the effective date of the permit November 8, 2015, a "Reassessment of Technically Based Local Limits."

On October 26, 2015 EPD submitted to EPA its Reassessment of the Technically Based Local Limits. The City believes that the information confirms that Manchester's present Local Limits Headwork's Allocation is sound, conservative and protective of the wastewater treatment operations. The new discharge permit requires monthly monitoring of effluent copper with an average monthly limit of 24 ug/l. We have a chronic toxicity-reporting requirement of >8.5% for NOEC and an acute toxicity requirement of an LC50 of >100%.